Nuson erec	Reservation	Aiterna	tives zane	J. 7515 5	annuly matrix (page	1012					Project attributes	& chara	cteristics									
Alternative ID:	Description									1.) Des	sign standards and maintenand	e									2.) Cost	
		a.) Does the project meet AASHTO design safety standards? YES NO				c.) Maintenance						d.) Constructal			/							
	Name Description				b.) Grade changes		i. Future roadway maintenance costs from river processes		ii. Future roadway maintenance impacts to fish habitat		s iii. Potential for river erosion of highway prism		i. Utility relocation		our and impacts to the traveling public	e.) Geologic hazards			f.) Snow-avalanche hazards		Project Cost	
				Score Rationale		Score Rationale		Score Rationale		Score	Rationale	Score Rationale		Score	Rationale	Score	re Rationale		Rationale	Score	Rationale	
1	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		У	1.76 percent max grade	У	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3. Fill placed in portions of N & S floodplains (4.5ac. Ref 3.b). Road occupies about half of existing north side channel	У	See notes for 1-C-i	У	See notes for 1-C-i	o	Lengthy utility relocate	g	Low detour impact	o	Some risk of destabilizing bluff (App B, p 16)	g	No Hazard	o	\$16,760,000	
2	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		0	3.85 percent max grade	У	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3. Fill placed in portions of N & S floodplains (1.45ac. Ref 3.b). Road occupies parts of existing north side channel	У	See notes for 1-C-i	у	See notes for 1-C-i	o	Lengthy utility relocate	ಜ	Low detour impact	o	May destabilize slopes along bluff (App B, p 17)	g	No Hazard	0	\$19,280,000	
3	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		0	4 percent max grade	У	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3. Fill placed in portions of N floodplain (2.0ac. Ref 3.b). Road occupies parts of existing north side channel	У	See notes for 1-C-i	у	See notes for 1-C-i	o	Lengthy utility relocate	g	Low detour impact	o	May destabilize slopes along bluff (App B, p 17)	g	No Hazard	0	\$18,490,000	
4	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		0	4 percent max grade	У	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3. Fill placed in portions of N floodplain (2.3ac. Ref 3.b). Road occupies parts of existing north side channel	у	See notes for 1-C-i	у	See notes for 1-C-i	o	Lengthy utility relocate	g	Low detour impact	o	May destabilize slopes along bluff (App B, p 17)	g	No Hazard	0	\$16,310,000	
5	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		0	4 percent max grade	g	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3.	g	See notes for 1-C-i	g	See notes for 1-C-i	o	Lengthy utility relocate	g	Low detour impact	g	Low risk of destabilizing slope (App B, p17)		Some Hazard - passes near area E (ref: App B)	0	\$19,140,000	
6	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g		0	4 percent max grade	g	Road removed from active main stem RM 3.3-4.5 removing CED sites 1-3.	ы	See notes for 1-C-i	യ	See notes for 1-C-i	0	Lengthy utility relocate	g g	Low detour impact	B D	Avoids area of slope movement (App B, p17)		Hazard - passes below area E (ref: App B)	r	\$29,340,000	
7	Yakama Nation Road Re-alignment	g		0	4.31 percent max grade	у	Out of meander corridor RM 4.1-4.5. Removes CED sites 1 and 2.	у	See notes for 1-C-i	у	See notes for 1-C-i	У	moderate length utility relocation	œ	Low detour impact	g	Avoids geologic hazard areas.	g	No Hazard	У	\$10,810,000	
8	Chelan County Raised Road Causeway	g		g	No grade change	0	Piers remain in meander corridor RM 4.1-4.5. CED sites 1- 3 remain active	o	See notes for 1-C-i	o	See notes for 1-C-i	y	Moderate utiilty relocation	o	High detour impact	g,	No change to valley wall slopes	g	No Hazard	o	\$20,010,000	
9	Chelan County Floodplain Reconnect (Bridges)	g		g	No grade change	o	Remains in meander corridor . CED sites 1-3 remain active	0	See notes for 1-C-i	0	See notes for 1-C-i	g	Minimal utility relocate	У	Moderate to High detour impact	g	No change to valley wall slopes	g	No Hazard	У	\$8,800,000	
10	Chelan County Floodplain Reconnect (Culverts)	g		g	No grade change	o	Remains in meander corridor. CED sites 1-3 remain active	0	See notes for 1-C-i	0	See notes for 1-C-i	g	Minimal utility relocate	У	Moderate detour impact	g	No change to valley wall slopes	g	No Hazard	g	\$1,230,000	
11	Engineered Large Wood Jams	g		g	No grade change	0	Remains in meander corridor. CED sites 1-3 remain active	0	See notes for 1-C-i	0	See notes for 1-C-i	g	No utility relocate	у	Low to Moderate detour impact	g	No change to valley wall slopes	g	No Hazard	g	\$1,750,000	
12	No Action	g		g	No grade change	o	Remains in meander corridor. CED sites 1-3 remain active	0	See notes for 1-C-i	0	See notes for 1-C-i	g	No utility relocate	g	No detour required	g	No change to valley wall slopes	g	No Hazard	g	\$0	

Green=exceeds objective/criteria.

Yellow=adequately meets objective/criteria.

Orange=only partially meets objective/criteria.

Red=does not meet objective/criteria, potential fatal flaws.

Cost source: Chelan County 2011 cost updated by Perteet with current unit costs; no changes to quantities

Perteet (2021) cost estimate Chelan County 2011 cost inflated at 4% to 2022 dollars Inter-Fluve OPCC Nason Creek RM 3.3 to 4.6 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis summary matrix (page 2 of 2)

Nason Creek RM 3.3 to 4.6 Supplemental Alternatives Analysis summary matrix (page 2 of 2) Project attributes & characteristics																				
Alternative ID:	Description				3.) Natural Environment				Project	attribu	4.) Meets project objectives				5.) Landowner will	ngness				
	Name Description	a.) B	iological benefit to fish habitat	3.) Natural Environment b.) Wetland / floodplain impacts			nodplain connectivity - unimpeded by roadway te: existing culverts and side channel connect acres of floodplain behind roadway for Alts 1- 6.)	a) Travel safety		b.) Re	educe current and future road maintenance impacts to fish habitat		c.) Restoration of natural stream channel processes (floodplain connectivity)		a. Public: USFS & WSDOT support		pacts 1 or more vate parcels	Оссі	ırrence	is
			Rationale	Score	Rationale	Score	e Rationale	Score	Rationale	Score	Rationale	Score	Rationale	Score	Rationale	Score	Rationale			
1	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i. Fill in about half of existing north side channel impacts existing quality habitats	r	4.5 acres wetland fill + 4.0 acres indirect wetland impact. Challenging permitting and cost implications	g	Reconnect 68.4 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway; 14.0 ac incremental increase. (YN est: 58.9acres reconnection, 11.8ac incremental increase.)	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	У	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance of road fill will be required	У	Approx. 58.9 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated. Roadway fill in about half of existing north side channel impacts existing process and habitats	o	USFS Concerns	o	Impacts 1 private parcel	6 6	5	1
2	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i. Fill in existing north side channel impacts existing quality habitats	o	1.45 acres wetland impact fill + small area of indirect wetland impact	g	Reconnect 75.6 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway; 21.2 ac incremetal increase. (YN est: 66.1acres reconnection, 19.0ac incremental increase.)	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	У	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance of road fill will be required	У	Approx 66.1 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated. Roadway fill in portion of north side channel.	o	USFS Concerns	o	Impacts 1 private parcel	6 5	7	0
3	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i. Fill in existing north side channel impacts existing quality habitats	o	2 acres of wetland fill + 3.5 acres indirect wetland impact	g	Reconnect 71.5 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway; 17.1 ac incremental increase. (YN est: 62.0acres reconnection, 14.9ac incremental increase.)	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	У	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance of road fill will be required	У	Approx 62 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated. Roadway fill in portion of north side channel.	o	USFS Concerns	o	Impacts 1 private parcel	6 5	7	0
4	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i. Fill in existing north side channel impacts existing quality habitats	o	2.3 acres of wetland fill + small area of indirect wetland impact	d g	Reconnect 74.7 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway; 20.3 ac incremental increasse. (YN est: 65.2acres reconnection, 18.1 incremental increase.)	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	У	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance of road fill will be required	У	Approx 65.2 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated. Roadway fill in portion of north side channel.	o	USFS Concerns	o	Impacts 1 private parcel	6 5	7	0
5	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i	g	No wetland impact	g	Reconnect 77 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway (YN est: 67.5acres)	У	Meets AASHTO criteria Some avalanche risk	g	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance for Geologic hazards may be required	g	Approx. 67.5 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated.	0	WSDOT concerns	o	Impacts 1 private parcel	11 1	5	1
6	Chelan County Road Re-alignment	B	See notes for 1-C-i	g	No wetland impact	g	Reconnect 77 acres of floodplain unimpeded by roadway (YN est: 67.5acres)	У	Meets AASHTO criteria Some avalanche risk	ts.	See notes for 1-C-i. Maintenance for Geologic hazards may be required	g	Approx. 67.5 acres of north and south floodplain reactivated.	0	WSDOT concerns	r	Impacts multiple private parcels	11 1	3	3
7	Yakama Nation Road Re-alignment	g	See notes for 1-C-i	у	Wetland fill: 0.1 acre for 45 MPH option and 0.95 acre for 55 MPH option	r y	Reconnect 12.9 acre connection of floodplain unimpeded by roadway	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	У	Road removed from floodplain - low maintenance impact RM 4.1-4.5 Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.1	У	Approx. 12.9 acres of south floodplain reactivated.	g	Supported	g	No private land impact	8 9	1	0
8	Chelan County Raised Road Causeway	0	See notes for 1-C-i	g	No wetland impact	У	Reconnect 12.9 acres of floodplain impeded somewhat by causeway	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	o	Causeway through req's some maintenance impact RM 4.1-4.5. Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.1	У	Approx. 12.9 acres of south floodplain reactivated partially impeded by causeway piles.	o	WSDOT and USFS concerns	g	No private land impact	7 3	8	0
9	Chelan County Floodplain Reconnect (Bridges)	0	See notes for 1-C-i	у	Some wetland impact from side channel excavation	0	Flow connectivity to 12.9 acres of floodplain with process impeded by roadway	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	o	Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.5 plus add'l maintenance of bridges	0	Partial flow connectivity to 12.9 floodplain behind roadway RM 4.1-4.5	0	WSDOT and USFS concerns	g	No private land impact	7 3	8	0
10	Chelan County Floodplain Reconnect (Culverts)	0	See notes for 1-C-i	У	Some wetland impact from side channel excavation	o	Flow connectivity to 12.9 acres of floodplain with process impeded by roadway	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	o	Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.5 plus add'l maintenance of culverts	0	Partial flow connectivity to 12.9 floodplain behind roadway RM 4.1-4.5	0	WSDOT and USFS concerns	g	No private land impact	8 2	8	0
11	Engineered Large Wood Jams	У	Localized habitat enhancement	g	No wetland impact	r	None	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	0	Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.5	r	No increase in floodplain connectivity	У	WSDOT and USFS support if no road relocation	g	No private land impact	9 3	4	2
12	No Action	r	No change to benefit habitats	g	No wetland impact	r	None	g	Meets AASHTO criteria	r	Maintenance still required RM 3.3-4.5	r	No increase in floodplain connectivity	0	WSDOT and USFS don't support no action	g	No private land impact	10 0	4	4